

EVALUATION FORMAT FOR SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

Dear evaluator,

In order to back up your article evaluation, we ask you to use the following rubric which considers three revision areas, namely: Contents, Communication and Critical Thinking. Please reply YES or NO to each established field as you may feel to be proper. In case your reply is NO, please add the adequate observations.

Content evaluation criterion:	Fulfillment (YES/NO)	Observations (and comment in case of negative fulfillment)
Article length: The article has a length of not over a maximum of 8,000 words.		
Title: The article's title is not over a maximum of twelve (12) words.		
Abstract: The abstract contains the purpose of the study, the methodology, the results, the most relevant conclusions in no more than 150 words.		
Key descriptors: There are between 6 and 8 key descriptors.		
JEL classification (Journal of Economic Literature): There are between 1 and 3 classification codes.		
Introduction: This section signals theoretically supported antecedents as well as the purpose and justification of the research.		
Literature revision: This section presents Information concerning theories, models, similar preceding research related with the article's topic, duly sustained by theoretical references.		

<p>Methodology: This section presents in detail the type of research that has been carried out, and a description of the study population, and of the measurement instruments that have been applied and the data collection period.</p>		
<p>Results: This section signals the research results and relates them with the literature revision and with the purpose of the study.</p>		
<p>Conclusions: This section shows the authors' contributions in terms of the objective, of the methodology used, the contribution to the context and to the theory and the limitations and future research suggestions.</p>		
<p>References: References have been written using reverse indentation, as per the last edition of APA rules; each of these rules has been used in the text at least once.</p>		
<p>Tables and Figures: All tables and figures have the required numbering and format pursuant to authors' instructions.</p>		
<p>Originality and validity: Article is original and contributes to cognition; its theme is of current interest.</p>		

Communication evaluation criterion:	Fulfillment (YES/NO)	Observations (and comment in case of negative fulfillment)
<p>Written composition: Paragraph sequence in the article is logical and coherent. Each paragraph has an argumentative conclusion with premises and evidences.</p>		
<p>Spelling: The article has no spelling</p>		

errors or improper symbol use.		
Critical thinking evaluation criterion	Fulfillment (YES/NO)	Observations (and comment in case of negative fulfillment)
Quality of information: The article evidences knowledge in depth of the theme which starts from a discussion that makes ample use of arguments, viewpoints and sources of information.		
Reference quality and number of references: The article has at least 20 references. These references respond to articles published in indexed journals, books, book chapters, presentations and official web pages.		

Commentaries for the editor (These remarks will not be sent to the authors):
Commentaries for authors (Shortages and achievements of the summary; imprudent recommendations and course of action, etc):

Note: The evaluation format may be complemented by sending the article file where the article's corrections and improvement opportunity comments are shown.